

# Why is the Sasak Hook not a Static Implication in Quantum Logic?

Sonja Smets

Center for Logic and Philosophy of Science (CIWF)  
Free University of Brussels  
Pleinlaan B-1050 Brussels  
sonsmets@vub.ac.be

## Abstract

One encounters certain problems when introducing an implication connective within quantum logic. In the case of an orthomodular lattice there are five possible connectives which satisfy the strengthened law of entailment (i.e.  $a \leq b \Rightarrow a \rightarrow b = 1$  if  $a \cdot b = 0$ ). All five connectives are internally definable as specific two-variable lattice polynomials and one of these polynomials is referred to as the Sasak hook, i.e.  $a \overset{S}{\rightarrow} b = a' \vee (a \wedge b)$ . The Sasak hook, viewed as a connective, behaves badly in a non-boolean orthomodular logic due to the failure of a deduction theorem, transitivity weakening and contraposition (see [3, 4, 5, 7]). Following [9] we will argue that:

From an operational perspective (i.e. within operational quantum logic) the counterfactual nature of the Sasak hook shows explicitly that  $(a \overset{S}{\rightarrow} b)$  is the weakest property which, if it is actual in some state, guarantees that  $b$  will be an actual property of the system under the condition that we obtain a positive response from performing the ideal first kind measurement associated to property  $a$ .

In other words, our analysis explicitly supports the claim made in [2] that the action of the Sasaki hook assigns causes and has a fundamental dynamic nature. As such, we link this interpretation, what we have called dynamic operational quantum logic (see for instance [1, 8]) and clarify the point already made in [2]: the Sasaki hook should not be thought of as a static implication, but rather as inducing a labeled dynamic implication that assigns causes.

## References

- [1] B. Coecke, D.J. Moore and S. Smets, "Logic of Dynamics & Dynamics of Logic Some Paradigm Examples" in D. Gabbay, S. Rahman, J.M. Torre and J.P. Van Bendegem (eds. *Logic Epistemology and the Unity of Science*, submitted for publication, arXiv:math.LO/0106059.
- [2] B. Coecke and S. Smets, "The Sasaki Hook is not a [Static] Implication but Induces a Backward [in Time] Dynamic One that Assigns Causes" submitted for publication, arXiv:quant-ph/0111076.
- [3] G.M. Hardegree, "Stalnaker Conditional and Quantum Logic" *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 4, 399-421 (1975)
- [4] G.M. Hardegree, "The Conditional in Abstract and Concrete Quantum Logic" in C.A. Hooker, *The Logic-Algebraic Approach to Quantum Mechanics* vol.2, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht (1979)
- [5] L. Herman, E.L. Marsden and R. Piziak, "Implication Connectives in Orthomodular Lattices", *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* XVI, 305-328 (1975)
- [6] G. Kalmbach, *Orthomodular Lattices*, Academic Press London/New York (1983)
- [7] J. Malinowski, "The Deduction Theorem for Quantum Logic - Some Negative Results" *The Journal of Symbolic Logic* 55, 615-625 (1990)
- [8] S. Smets, *The Logic of Physical Properties in Static and Dynamic Perspective* PhD-thesis, Free University of Brussels (2001)
- [9] S. Smets, "On Causation and a Counterfactual Quantum Logic: The Sasaki Hook", submitted for the proceedings of the Flemish-Dutch Workshop on Adaptive and Erotetic Logics and Their Applications to the Philosophy of Science (PITT-PHIL-SCI00000619)